**Sheffield Data Summary 2018** (2017 in brackets)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Measure | Outcome | Ranking | Trend(↑→↓) |
| Sheffield | National | **LA** (of 152)*Note – Child Poverty Ranking is 112* | **Core Cities** (of 8) | **Statistical Neighbours** (of 11) |
| 2017 | **2018** | 2017 | **2018** | 2017 | **2018** |
| FS GLD | 70.3 (70) | 71.5 (71) | 94 | 98 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | **↑** |
| FS Gap | 28.2 (29.8) | 31.8 (31.7) | 55 | 32 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | **↓** |
| Y1 Phonics | 79 (77) | 82 (81) | 140 | 137 | 7 | 5 | 10 | 8 | **↑** |
| KS1 Reading | 72 (74) | 75 (76) | 92 | 124 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 6 | **↓** |
| KS1 Writing | 68 (68) | 70 (68) | 77 | 98 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | **→** |
| KS1 Maths | 74 (76) | 76 (75) | 61 | 106 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | **↓** |
| KS2 RWM Combined | 62 (60) | 64 (61) | 92 | 110 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | **↑** |
| KS2 Reading Attainment | 72 (69) | 75 (72) | 114 | 126 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | **↑** |
| KS2 Reading Progress | -0.06 (-0.01) | 0.03 (0) | 75 | 96 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 3 | **↓** |
| KS2 Writing Attainment | 77 (77) | 78 (76) | 65 | 106 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | **→** |
| KS2 Writing Progress | 0.28 (0.89) | 0.03 (0) | 32 | 63 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | **↓** |
| KS2 Maths Attainment  | 74 (74) | 76 (75) | 90 | 102 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 5 | **↓** |
| KS2 Maths Progress | 0.03 (0.27) | 0.03 (0) | 64 | 81 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 6 | **↓** |
| KS2 GPS | 74 (74) | 78 (77) | 125 | 131 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | **↓** |
| KS4 Progress 8 | 0.0 (0.01) | -0.02 (-0.03) | 56 | 60 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | **↓** |
| KS4 Attainment 8 | 44.6 (44.6) | 46.6 (46.5) | 110 | 107 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 6 | **↑** |
| KS4 4+ Eng & Maths  | 59.8 (59.5) | 64.4 (64.2) | 114 | 120 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 6 | **↑** |
| KS4 5+ Eng & Maths | 40 (39.1) | 43 (42.9) | 92 | 102 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 7 | **↑** |
| KS5 %AAB/better (2 fac subjects | 17.3 (17.3) | 13.7 (14.3) | 38 | 22 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | **→** |
| KS5 – avge points per entry (A level cohort) | 32.5 (30.7) | 32.1 (31.1) | 94 | 47 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 3 | **↑** |

**Outcomes** *“Fallen from broadly in line with national in 2017 to a weaker profile relative to national in 2018”*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Above National | At National | Below National |
| **Well Above** | **Above** | **In Line**  | **Equal** | **In Line**  | **Below** | **Well Below**  |
| (Above 2% or >+0.5) | (1-2% or <+0.5) | (+1% or <+0.25) | (=) | (-1% or >-0.25) | (1-2% or >-0.5) | (Above 2% or <-0.5) |
| 2017 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 5 |
| **2018** | **2** | **1** | **2** | **1** | **2** | **6** | **6** |

* 40% at or above national although 10% significantly above national
* 60% below national although 25% in line with national

**LA Ranking** (awaiting rankings for progress) *“Out-performing our level of deprivation compared to other areas but national ranks has worsened in 2018”*

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 1st Quartile | 2nd Quartile | 3rd Quartile | 4th Quartile |
| **Top Quartile** | **Above Median** | **Above Deprivation** | **In-line with Deprivation** | **Bottom Quartile** |
| (1-38) | (39-76) | (76-101) | (102-114) | 115-152 |
| 2017 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 2 |
| **2018** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **6** | **5** |

* Progress outcomes are all above deprivation, 50% in top half of LAs.
* Currently 25% in the top half of LAs nationally, 45% above deprivation ranking and 75% in-line with deprivation or above.
* 25% of outcomes are now in the bottom quartile – an increase from 10% in 2017.

**Core Cities** *“Strong performance when compared to other Core Cities”*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Rank 1 | Rank 2 | Rank 3 | Rank 4 | Rank 5 | Rank 6 | Rank 7 | Rank 8 |
| 2017 | 3 | 15% | 6 | 45% | 5 | 70% | 3 | 85% | 0 | 85% | 1 | 90% | 1 | 95% | 1 | 100% |
| **2018** | **4** | **20%** | **2** | **30%** | **5** | **55%** | **3** | **70%** | **3** | **85%** | **2** | **95%** | **0** | **95%** | **1** | **100%** |

* The progress outcomes were ranked 1, 4, 6 & 6. Currently 55% of outcomes are in top three core cities and the number of top ranks have increased.